

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 SALT T 00352 111311Z

43

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00

ACDE-00 (ISO) W

----- 060256

P R 111235Z SEP 75

FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2792

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION NATO

S E C R E T SALT TWO GENEVA 352

EXDIS/SALT

DEPT ALSO PASS DOD

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PARM

SUBJ: AMBASSADOR JOHNSON'S STATEMENT OF SEPTEMBER 11, 1975
(SALT TWO-784)

THE FOLLOWING IS STATEMENT DELIVERED BY AMBASSADOR
JOHNSON AT THE SALT TWO MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 11, 1975.

STATEMENT BY AMBASSADOR JOHNSON
SEPTEMBER 11, 1975

MR MINISTER,

I

TODAY I WANT TO DISCUSS ARTICLE XVI OF THE JOINT DRAFT
TEXT, WHICH DEALS WITH THE OBLIGATION OF THE SIDES TO CONTINUE
NEGOTIATIONS ON THE FURTHER LIMITATION AND THE REDUCTION
OF STRATEGIC ARMS.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 00352 111311Z

II

THE SOVIET DELEGATION, ON SEPTEMBER 3, PROPOSED THAT THE SIDES ASSUME AN OBLIGATION TO BEGIN IN 1977, AFTER ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE NEW AGREEMENT, NEGOTIATIONS ON FURTHER LIMITATIONS AND POSSIBLE REDUCTION OF STRATEGIC ARMS, BOTH BEFORE EXPIRATION OF THAT AGREEMENT, AND DURING THE PERIOD AFTER 1985. THE SOVIET DELEGATION STATED THAT THIS PROPOSAL WAS PRESENTED TO IMPLEMENT THE RELEVANT PROVISION OF THE AIDE-MEMOIRE, AND TO BUILD ON THE CORRESPONDING AGREED PROVISIONS OF THE PREAMBLE OF THE JOINT DRAFT TEXT. THE US BELIEVES THAT A PROVISION IN THE NEW AGREEMENT WHICH OBLIGATES THE SIDES TO CONTINUE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE FURTHER LIMITATION AND THE REDUCTION OF STRATEGIC ARMS WILL PROMOTE THE INTERESTS OF BOTH COUNTRIES.

IN THIS CONNECTION, THE PREAMBLE TO THE JOINT DRAFT TEXT OF THE NEW AGREEMENT CONTAINS AGREED LANGUAGE WHICH AFFIRMS THE INTENTION OF THE SIDES TO UNDERTAKE IN THE NEAR FUTURE NEGOTIATIONS TO LIMIT FURTHER AND TO REDUCE STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS. CONFORMING TO THIS PROVISION OF THE PREAMBLE, THE US BELIEVES THAT ARTICLE XVI SHOULD SPEAK OF "REDUCTION" RATHER THAN "POSSIBLE REDUCTION."

WITH RESPECT TO THE SOVIET PROPOSAL FOR ARTICLE XVI, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT NEGOTIATIONS WILL NOT TAKE PLACE UNTIL 1977. HOWEVER, THE US BELIEVES THAT THE SIDES NEED NOT NECESSARILY WAIT UNTIL 1977 TO BEGIN NEGOTIATIONS. RATHER, THE US BELIEVES THAT THESE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE FURTHER LIMITATION AND THE REDUCTION OF STRATEGIC ARMS SHOULD COMMENCE AT AN EARLY DATE AFTER ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE AGREEMENT WE ARE NOW NEGOTIATING. SUCH NEGOTIATIONS SHOULD BE CONCLUDED EXPEDITIOUSLY, AND THE RESULTING LIMITATION AND REDUCTION SHOULD TAKE EFFECT AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE THEREAFTER.

IN ADDITION, AS PROVIDED IN THE SECOND SENTENCE OF THE US PROPOSAL FOR ARTICLE XVI, THE US BELIEVES THAT THE PARTIES SHOULD ALSO COMMIT THEMSELVES TO THE OBJECTIVE OF CONCLUDING NEGOTIATIONS WELL IN ADVANCE OF 1985 ON AN AGREEMENT TO REPLACE THE NEW AGREEMENT UPON ITS EXPIRATION.

BASED UPON THE ABOVE CONSIDERATIONS AND THE PAST DISCUSSIONS
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 SALT T 00352 111311Z

BETWEEN THE SIDES ON THIS ISSUE, THE US PROPOSES THE FOLLOWING WORDING FOR ARTICLE XVI OF THE JOINT DRAFT TEXT:

"THE PARTIES UNDERTAKE TO BEGIN ACTIVE NEGOTIATIONS AT AN EARLY DATE AFTER THE ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THIS AGREEMENT, BUT NOT LATER THAN IN 1977, WITH THE OBJECTIVE OF ACHIEVING THE FURTHER LIMITATION AND THE REDUCTION OF STRATEGIC ARMS AT THE EARLIEST

POSSIBLE DATE. THE PARTIES ALSO AGREE UPON THE
OBJECTIVE OF CONCLUDING NEGOTIATIONS WELL IN
ADVANCE OF 1985 ON AN AGREEMENT TO REPLACE THIS
AGREEMENT UPON ITS EXPIRATION."

III

IN MAKING THIS PROPOSAL DEALING WITH FUTURE NEGOTIATIONS, I
WANT TO REPEAT THAT, WITH REGARD TO WHAT WAS DESCRIBED AS
"FORWARD-BASED NUCLEAR SYSTEMS AND NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN THIRD
COUNTRIES" IN THE SOVIET STATEMENT OF SEPTEMBER 9, THE US POSI-
TION IS THAT THESE SUBJECTS ARE NOT APPROPRIATE FOR CONSIDERA-
TION IN OUR CURRENT NEGOTIATIONS. FURTHERMORE, THE US DOES
NOT AGREE TO THEIR INCLUSION IN THE TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR
THE NEGOTIATIONS WHICH ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE US PROPOSAL FOR
ARTICLE XVI.

IV

MR. MINISTER, I BELIEVE THAT THE PROPOSAL I HAVE MADE TODAY
SHOULD PROVIDE A MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY FORMULATION FOR
ARTICLE XVI.
JOHNSON

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SALT (ARMS CONTROL), SPEECHES, ARTICLE XVI, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 11 SEP 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975SALTT00352
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750315-0001
From: SALT TALKS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750962/aaaaccjm.tel
Line Count: 138
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 28 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <28 APR 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <29 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: AMBASSADOR JOHNSON'S STATEMENT OF SEPTEMBER 11, 1975 (SALT TWO-784)
TAGS: PARM, (JOHNSON, U ALEXIS)
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006